

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/691,360

Applicant(s)

SHETTY, KALIDAS

Examiner

JOHN PAK

Art Unit

1616

All Participants:

(1) JOHN PAK

(2) CYNTHIA SOUMOFF

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Soumoff provided final authorization to enter the Examiner's Amendment, attached hereto. The Examiner notified Ms. Soumoff that the Drawings are being objected to. The Examiner stated that it appears that the drawings comprise color photographs, for which a petition and fee are lacking. Whether or not the photographs are in color or black and white, details of such photographs must be reproducible in the printed patent. Ms. Soumoff was notified that the photographs do not meet this requirement.